

Improving Information Exchange and Coordination amongst Homeland Security Organizations

ICCRTS 2005

June 15, 2005

TJ Goan

Stottler Henke Associates Inc.

Seattle, WA

goan@stottlerhenke.com

<http://www.stottlerhenke.com>

Dr. Israel Mayk

C2 Directorate (C2D), US Army CERDEC

Ft Monmouth, NJ

israel.mayk@us.army.mil

Stottler Henke

Smarter Software Solutions

Report Documentation Page			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2005		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2005 to 00-00-2005	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improving Information Exchange and Coordination amongst Homeland Security Organizations (Briefing Charts)				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Stottler Henke Associates Inc,Seattle,WA,98101				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The original document contains color images.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 15	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Outline

- Motivation
- Practicalities of Emergency Management (EM)
- Technological support
- Opportunities for improvement
- The Vista concept
- Current status & future plans

Motivation

- The need for effective coordination
- Concerted effort to provide new resources
- Encouraging information exchange, but several complications remain:
 - Continued problems due to a lack of unified and hierarchical command
 - Lack of system and semantic interoperability amongst Homeland Security (HLS) organizations
 - Lack of practice

HLS Operations from the EM Perspective

- Gathered knowledge from decision makers with EM organizations in Washington State
 - City, County & State (National Guard)
- Breadth of coordination
 - Home Rule States
 - Non-government organizations
- HLS and All-Hazards EM
- Personnel characteristics
 - Infrequent exercises, changes in personnel, low levels of training

EM Concept of Operations

- Forewarning of an event (Phase I Alert)
 - EOC watch standers will monitor the situation
- The EOC is activated (Phase II Alert)
 - Department lacks sufficient resources
 - Involved departments meet at the EOC
- The EOC is fully activated (Phase III Alert)
 - Full resources are applied
 - Requests can be made to state

Technology Support

The screenshot displays a dispatch software interface with several key components:

- Call Information Panel (Top Left):** Contains fields for Call Number (160), Call Date/Time (7/27/2003 3:17:40 PM), and various tabs for Call Information, Call Location, Call Assignment, Agency Group, One Call, and Origin Call. It also includes a 'Call Status' dropdown and a 'Report Received' button.
- Map (Top Right):** A map showing the location of the call, with a red circle highlighting the area around the call location. A large number '3' is overlaid on the map.
- Unit Recommendations Panel (Bottom Left):** A table showing recommended units for the call, including Unit, Unit Type, Dispatch, and Unit Status.
- Call Control Panel - Pending Calls (Middle Right):** A table showing pending calls, including Call Number, Call Type, Priority, Status, Location, Call Date/Time, Dispatch Date/Time, and Arrival Date/Time.
- Call Control Panel - Dispatched Calls (Bottom Right):** A table showing dispatched calls, including Call Number, Call Type, Priority, Status, Location, Call Date/Time, Dispatch Date/Time, and Arrival Date/Time.
- Unit Status Control Panel - Police (Bottom Left):** A table showing unit status for police units, including Unit Number, Unit Type, Type Description, Call Number, Call Location, Unit Location, Incident Number, No. of Stacked Calls, Unit Call, and Status.
- Unit Status Control Panel - Fire/EMS (Bottom Right):** A table showing unit status for fire/EMS units, including Unit Number, Unit Type, Type Description, Call Number, Call Location, Unit Location, Incident Number, No. of Stacked Calls, Unit Call, and Status.

Numbered callouts are present:

- 1:** Points to the 'Call Status' dropdown in the Call Information panel.
- 2:** Points to the 'Unit Status Control Panel - Police' table.
- 3:** Points to the map area.

TOPOFF 2

- Communications challenges
 - heavy use of hand written information transcription and fax communication caused several errors
 - confusion over WMD device time and plume path
 - lack of shared terminology
- No shared knowledge of capabilities / resources
- Multitude of “control nodes”
 - Joint Operations Center failure

Opportunities to Improve / Our Goals

- Streamlining information monitoring/access across organizational boundaries
 - timely alerting in emergency response
 - support for everyday activities
- Supplying User Defined Operational Picture (UDOP)
 - individualized display of common data
- Reducing the need for co-location
- Enhanced joint training

The Vista Concept

- Compliments current Crisis Information Management System (CIMS) technologies
 1. Exploit unfolding mission context to understand information requirements
 2. Provide users with an ongoing awareness of the information being generated across partners
 3. Continually adapt in order to maintain semantic interoperability

Work-Centered Mission Context Modeling

- Context understanding
 - relevancy-rated documents
 - situation data interpreted through a shallow model of EM processes
 - task vocabularies
- Federated information monitoring/access
 - multi-search & context-based filtering/prioritization
 - improves sharing efficacy in a broad set of tasks
 - foundation for UDOP

Achieving Semantic Interoperability

■ Goals

- allow partner organizations to utilize their own systems
- support sharing and automated interpretation of “OPORD’s” and intelligence

■ Two reasonable approaches to semantic interoperability

- Hybrid ontological approach
 - semantics of each source described by its own ontology
 - map to and from a central ontology (i.e., shared vocabulary)
- Just-in-time and ad-hoc “concept switching”
 - exploit context awareness to automatically locate (and locally) align vocabulary to support task

Context-Aware Search and Monitoring

- Two primary modes of information access
 - “goal-driven” mode where the individual seeks to fill fairly well understood information needs
 - “knowledge surveillance” mode where the individual seeks to maintain an awareness of information being generated elsewhere
- Context-aware relevance judgments vs. keyword filters
- Multi-search

Experiments & Results

- Context-aware search with multi-search
 - control group: 4 queries
 - saw 56% increase in highly relevant results in 2nd half
 - experimental group: 2 queries + 2 automated queries
 - saw 127% increase in highly relevant results
- Concept switching in search
 - 2 communities with different terminology
 - tested the utility of selectively “sharing” vocabulary
 - averaged 27% gain in relevant results with sharing

Lessons Learned

- Supporting All-Hazards EM is necessary
 - the more tools see everyday use, the more effective they will be in a crisis
- Substantial effort spent in info. monitoring
 - critical information is not always pushed to where it is needed
- EM C2 is considerably different than military C2 in most situations
- Context-aware search/filtering & “concept switching” offer substantial benefits

Current Status & Future Plans

- Project has entered a second phase of R&D
 - focus is deploying and testing tools
- Consensus amongst user organizations was reached on the need for user-defined dashboard
 - automated monitoring of web data sources
 - task driven data aggregation and display
- Working toward automated processing of task, resource, and intelligence updates